UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,742	07/16/2003	Joseph L. Sullivan	1023-130US01	8969
53049 7590 08/17/2007 MARY Y. REDMAN			EXAMINER	
MEDTRONIC	INC.	MULLEN, KRISTEN DROESCH		
	NIC PARKWAY NE M IS, MN 55432-5604	1.S. LC340	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3766	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/620,742	SULLIVAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kristen Droesch Mullen	3766				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 A	ugust 2007.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) Claim(s) 4,7,17,20 and 42 is/are pending in the	e application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) 4 and 7 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17,20 and 42</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	н г .					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 7/16/03 is/are: a)⊠ ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	- h hbd					
1. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No				
2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority						
application from the International Bureau	•	ed iii tiiis ivational otage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • •	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal I					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	, o) 🗀 omer					

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indicated allowability of claim 17 is withdrawn in view of the newly reconsidered reference to Battaglia and newly discovered reference to Browne. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claims 4 and 7 are allowed.

Claim 42 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 20 is objected to because of the following informalities: "the electrotherapy" lacks antecedent basis in the claims. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 42 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In the phrase "and at least one selected from the group consisting of" it is unclear what is meant by the word "one" in this context. The examiner suggests rewriting the claim as follows:
- A computer-readable medium comprising instructions for causing a programmable processor to:
 display a diagram representing at least a portion of a human body;
 receive a selection of a part of the displayed human body;
 and present first aid information as a function of the selection; and
 the instructions further cause the programmable processor to execute one step selected
 from the group consisting of: summon emergency medical personnel as a function of the

Application/Control Number: 10/620,742 Page 3

Art Unit: 3766

selection, deliver a therapy to a patient as a function of the selection, mad generate an alarm as a function of the selection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Battaglia (5,088,037).

Regarding claim 17, Battaglia shows a method including presenting a menu of patient conditions (43-47, 18-22), receive a selection from the menu, and present first aid information as a function of the selection and delivering a *therapy* as a function of the selection (issuing of instructions to actuate 23 and/or 24 and the corresponding instructions that are delivered once 23 and/or 24 have been actuated) (Figs. 2-3; Col. 3, line 11-Col. 4, line 53).

7. Claims 17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Browne et al. (2004/0064342).

Regarding claims 17 and 20, Battaglia shows a method including presenting a menu of patient conditions (Fig. 8, Breathing (136) or Not Breathing (138); Fig. 9, Circulation (146) or No Circulation (148), receive a selection from the menu, and present first aid information as a function of the selection and delivering a defibrillation electrotherapy or therapy (CPR) as a

Art Unit: 3766

function of the selection (No Breathing (136) selected and No Circulation (138) (Figs. 8-10; paras. [0085]-[0089]).

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kristen Droesch Mullen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4944. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 10:30 am-6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3766

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

kdm

KRISTEN D. MULLEN PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Krister Drosd Muller